JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MICHAELIA WINDER			TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT and QMES, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff DELAWARE  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
	re, Saffren & Weinberg, 815 G town, PA 19046; (215) 576-01					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question  (U.S. Government Not a Party)		en of This State		PTF DEF incipal Place	
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties		en of Another State	2		
			en or Subject of a Doreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT    110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	ONAL INJURY rsonal Injury - oduct Liability alth Care/ armaceutical rsonal Injury oduct Liability bestos Personal jury Product ability NAL PROPERTY her Fraud uth in Lending her Personal operty Damage operty Damage operty Damage office Corpus: ien Detainee totions to Vacate tience neral ath Penalty andamus & Other	ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	322 Appeal 28 USC 158     422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     380 Patent     381 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application     340 Trademark     SOCIAL SECURITY     361 HIA (1395ff)     362 Black Lung (923)     363 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     364 SSID Title XVI     365 RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUITS     370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     371 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act   376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))   400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   893 Environmental Matters   895 Freedom of Information Act   896 Arbitration   899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from the Court 3 Remanded Appellate  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under	Court Reo which you are filing (a seq (Title VII)  t ASS ACTION D	pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer utes unless diversity):	Litigation - Direct File	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		TURE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD			
09/18/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
	MOLINT AP	PLVING IFP	IUDGE	MAG JUE	OGF.	

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MICHAELIA WINDER	: •	CIVIL ACTION	
٧.	:		
TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMI QMES, LLC	ENT and	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Track Design e a copy on all defendants. (So vent that a defendant does not that a defendant does not that a defendant does not the same areas are tracked.	Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for nation Form in all civil cases at the time of ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse not agree with the plaintiff regarding saids, submit to the clerk of court and serve on ack Designation Form specifying the track ned.	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.			
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	or property damage from	
		al or intense management by	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks. (x)	
	~		
09/18/18	Marc A. Weinberg, Esquire	Plaintiff	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
215-576-0100	215-576-6288	mweinberg@saffwein.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### 

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	33 Windermere Avenue, Lansdow	ne, PA 19050	
·	122 Mill Road, Suite A130, Phoenix	xville, PA 19462	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	122 Mill Road, Suite A130, I	Phoenixville, PA 19462	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:			
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answer	ed to any of the following questions:		
Is this case related to property included in an previously terminated action in this court?	earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No V	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No V			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  Verify  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N			
this court except as noted above.	is / is not related to any case now pending or		
DATE: 09/18/2018	V	60643	
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	Marie Control of the		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	a Cases:	
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, ar     □ 2. FELA     □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury     □ 4. Antitrust     □ 5. Patent     □ 6. Labor-Management Relations     ☑ 7. Civil Rights     □ 8. Habeas Corpus     □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases     □ 10. Social Security Review Cases     □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases     (Please specify):	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defan 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabi	nation al Injury Personal Injury I Injury (Please specify):  Ility Asbestos rsity Cases	
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION		
Marc A. Weinberg, Esquire	ect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the e of interest and costs:		
DATE: 09/18/2018		60643	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	33 Windermere Avenue, Lansdown	ne, PA 19050		
	400 MIN D	xville, PA 19462		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	122 Mill Road, Suite A130, F	Phoenixville, PA 19462		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answer	red to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
	2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes  No  Verification of the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes			
	3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo  Vo				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	☐ is / • is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in		
DATE: 09/18/2018	~	60643		
DATE.	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	ı Cases:		
<ol> <li>Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, at</li> <li>FELA</li> <li>Jones Act-Personal Injury</li> <li>Antitrust</li> <li>Patent</li> <li>Labor-Management Relations</li> <li>Civil Rights</li> <li>Habeas Corpus</li> </ol>	nd All Other Contracts  1. Insurance Cont 2. Airplane Perso 3. Assault, Defan 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle	ntract and Other Contracts onal Injury nation nal Injury Personal Injury Il Injury (Please specify):		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	9. All other Diver			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	(Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	(Please specify):			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff.)  I,Marc A. Weinberg, Esquire	(Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  fect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibilit  _, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  2) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the	ty for arbitration.)		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff.)  I, Marc A. Weinberg, Esquire  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c)	(Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  fect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibilit  , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  2) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the ye of interest and costs:	ty for arbitration.)		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff.)  Narc A. Weinberg, Esquire  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(compare) exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive	(Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  fect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibilit  , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  2) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the ye of interest and costs:	ty for arbitration.)		

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAELIA WINDER

33 Windermere Avenue : CIVIL ACTION NO.:

Lansdowne, PA 19050 :

Plaintiff, : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT

122 Mill Road, Suite A130

Phoenixville, PA 19462 and

QMES, LLC :

122 Mill Road, Suite A130

Phoenixville, PA 19462 : COMPLAINT

#### I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff, MICHAELIA WINDER (hereinafter "Plaintiff") brings this action under the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e *et seq.* ("Title VII"); the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954 *et seq.* ("PaHRA"); under Pennsylvania and Federal Common Law, for Hostile Work Environment, and for retaliation in exercising her rights under the aforementioned statutes. Plaintiff seeks equitable relief, compensatory damages, costs and attorney fees from Defendants for Defendants' discriminatory practices and other tortuous actions.

#### III. THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is an adult individual and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at the above-captioned address.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT and QMES, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant") is a business, corporation or

partnership that maintains a principal place of business at the above-captioned address and licensed and authorized to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted individually, and/or through their agents, representatives, servants and/or employees, ostensible or otherwise, who were then and there engaged in Defendant's business and acting within the scope of their agency, servitude and/or employment on behalf of Defendant.
- 5. At all times material hereto, the conduct of Defendant as enumerated within this Complaint occurred within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction over this action is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1343.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendants were "engaged in an industry affecting commerce" as defined in the Labor Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. 142 (1) and (3).
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendants employed more than fifty (50) employees.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Defendants were an "employer" as defined by Title VII.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an "employer" as defined by §§4 and 5 of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954 et seq.

- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendants aided and abetted the interference, discrimination, hostile work environment and harassment that Plaintiff was subjected to during the course and scope of her employment.
- 13. Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment
  Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") claiming discrimination based on Sexual Harassment and
  Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination under Title VII, violation of and
  violation of Pennsylvania Statutory Law under the PaHRA.
- 14. Plaintiff has complied with all jurisdictional prerequisites and was issued a Notice of Right to Sue by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on June 25, 2018 and was received by the Plaintiff at a time thereafter, (Attached hereto as Exhibit "A").

#### III. FACTUAL ASSERTION

- 15. Plaintiff was hired and was employed by the Defendant as a Customer Service Representative from approximately March 27, 2017 until February 9, 2018.
  - 16. Plaintiff is female.
- 17. Plaintiff brings her claims for discrimination based on Race, Sex, Retaliation and Pregnancy Discrimination.
  - 18. At the time of hiring she was five (5) months pregnant.
- 19. Ms Winder gave birth after her employment commenced and she required time off from work to care for her infant child.
  - 20. Plaintiff took eight (8) weeks unpaid leave for this reason.
- 21. Plaintiff also required other unpaid leave to care for her children if and when they were sick as she is a single parent.

- 22. The Employers failed to properly accommodate the Plaintiff in her need to "pump" as she was breastfeeding her infant child.
- 23. Plaintiff was denied regular access to a room for such "pumping," when access was provided she was subject to harassment, and she was limited in time when she could "pump," which in some cases caused her to become "backed up" or "leak."
- 24. Ms. Winder suffered such discrimination in the workplace by her supervisors and employers.
- 25. When she complained of such harassment or need for accommodation, this in turn resulted in retaliation that ultimately caused her to be terminated.
- 26. Additionally, Ms. Winder was terminated for taking leave that she needed for her pregnancy and to care for her children; wherein, leave was provided to other non African American employees for similar reasons. As a result, the Plaintiff suffered loss of income, benefits, seniority and was cause undue hardship and anguish.
  - 27. Plaintiff was supervised by Amanda Dero.
  - 28. The Defendants afforded other employees the opportunity to breast feed.
  - 29. These employees were non African American.
  - 30. Plaintiff is African American.
- This treatment by the Defendants was reported, but no action was taken by the Defendants.
- 32. Because of the severe and pervasive Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy

  Discrimination and hostile work environment, the Plaintiff was forced to resign her position.

- 33. Because her employer refused and failed to take the appropriate and proper steps to investigate and resolve the Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and hostile work environment, the Plaintiff was terminated.
- 34. At all times material hereto the Defendant acted and was responsible for the actions of their agents, assigns, servants and employees.
- 35. At all times material hereto the Defendant acted by and through the actions of their agents, assigns, servants and employees.
- 36. At all times material hereto the Defendant was responsible for the actions of their agents, assigns, servants and employees via *respondent superior*.

#### **COUNT I**

# MICHAELIA WINDERV. TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT and QMES, LLC VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000e et seq. and Retaliation

- 37. All aforementioned paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 38. The conduct of Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff in her employment violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as the harassment, hostile work environment, retaliation and discrimination that Plaintiff was subjected to was unwelcome, unwarranted, and a clear violation of Title VII.
- 39. Plaintiff was subject to different and negative treatment including retaliation and termination because she refused said Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination.
  - 40. At all times material hereto, the aforementioned harassment was unwelcome.
- 41. At all times material hereto, the aforementioned harassment was severe and pervasive.

- 42. As a result of the Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination, the Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment.
- 43. The discrimination, harassment, hostile work environment, retaliation and termination to which Defendants subjected Plaintiff was intentional, with malice and with reckless indifference.
- 44. Plaintiff was subject to different and negative treatment including retaliation and termination because she reported said Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination, and as such was subject to retaliation.
- 45. At the time of resignation the Defendant by and through its employees, servants and agents was aware of the Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination that the Plaintiff was subjected.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHAELIA WINDER seeks a determination that the Defendant violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and request all damages and relief permitted under the Act including but not limited to:

- (a) back pay and front pay;
- (b) compensatory and punitive damages;
- (c) equitable relief such as reinstatement of a rightful place;
- (d) retroactive relief such as seniority and entitlement;
- (e) injunctive relief included but not limited to barring future discriminatory conduct:
- (f) attorney's fees, expert fees, costs and expenses;
- (g) and such further relief as this court deems just and fair.

#### **COUNT II**

## MICHAELIA WINDER V. TRICOUNTY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT and QMES, LLC VIOLATION OF PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT 33 PS 955, ET SEQ.

46. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.

- 47. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because she refused the Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and reported said Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and pregnancy discrimination which is in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954, et seq.
- 48. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff in the terms, conditions and privileges of her employment as Defendants allowed, fostered and subjected Plaintiff to harassment, hostile work environment and retaliation as set forth above.
  - 49. At all times material hereto, the aforementioned harassment was unwelcome.
- 50. At all times material hereto, the aforementioned harassment was severe and pervasive.
- 51. At all times material hereto, the aforementioned harassment unreasonably altered the condition of Plaintiff's employment and created a hostile work environment.
- 52. Plaintiff's resignation was causally related to her Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination and retaliation for reporting said harassment.
- 53. Defendants knew or should have known about the aforementioned harassment and hostile work environment to which Plaintiff was subjected during the course of her employment.
- 54. Defendants failed to take prompt, remedial action to eliminate the aforementioned harassment and hostile work environment to which Plaintiff was subjected during the course of her employment.

- 55. The discrimination, harassment, hostile work environment, retaliation and termination to which Defendants subjected Plaintiff was intentional, with malice and with reckless indifference.
- 56. Defendants' reasons for disciplining and/or terminating Plaintiff were pretextual to obscure Defendants' discriminatory animus and purpose.
- 57. During the course and scope of Plaintiff's employment, she was subjected to ongoing antagonism.
- 58. The conduct of Defendants' treatment of Plaintiff in his employment, termination and retaliation violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954, et seq., as Plaintiff's harassment, hostile work environment, retaliation and discharge from employment was based upon Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination and Pregnancy Discrimination and retaliation.
- 59. At the time of termination the Defendant by and through its employees, servants and agents was aware of the Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Discrimination that the Plaintiff was subjected to at the workplace and after work hours by her supervisor.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DANIELLE DUCKETT, seeks a determination that Defendant violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954, et seq., and requests all damages and relief permitted under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §954, et seq., including but not limited to: back pay and front pay; equitable relief; injunctive relief included but not limited to barring future discriminatory conduct; attorney's fees, expert fees, costs and expenses; punitive damages, and such further relief as this Court deems just and fair.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

MARC A. WEINBERG, ESQUIRE 815 Greenwood Avenue, Suite 22 Jenkintown, PA 19046 (215) 576-0100 mweinberg@saffwein.com

Dated: September 17, 2018

### **EXHIBIT "A"**

EEOC Form 161	(11/16)	J.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OF	PORTUNITY COM	MISSION
		DISMISSAL AND NO	TICE OF RIGH	ITS
33 W	aelia Winder indermere Ave downe, PA 19050	ŧ.	801 M Suite	delphia District Office Market Street 1300 delphia, PA 19107
		erson(s) aggrieved whose identity is AL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))		
EEOC Charg	je No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
T00 0040	0.440.4	Legal Unit,		(245) 440 2020
530-2018-		Legal Technician	IE FOLLOWING	(215) 440-2828
THE EEO		E ON THIS CHARGE FOR TH		
	The facts alleged in the	charge fail to state a claim under	any of the statutes	enforced by the EEOC.
	Your allegations did no	t involve a disability as defined by	the Americans Wit	n Disabilities Act.
	The Respondent emplo	bys less than the required number	of employees or is	not otherwise covered by the statutes.
	Your charge was not discrimination to file yo		r words, you wai	ted too long after the date(s) of the alleged
Х	information obtained e	stablishes violations of the statute	s. This does not c	ion, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the ertify that the respondent is in compliance with ued as having been raised by this charge.
	The EEOC has adopte	d the findings of the state or local t	air employment pra	actices agency that investigated this charge.
	Other (briefly state)			
		- NOTICE OF SU (See the additional information		m.)
Discrimina You may fil lawsuit mus	ation in Employment le a lawsuit against the st be filed <u>WITHIN 90</u>	Act: This will be the only notice respondent(s) under federal la	e of dismissal an aw based on this <b>s notice</b> ; or your	scrimination Act, or the Age d of your right to sue that we will send you charge in federal or state court. Your right to sue based on this charge will be t.)
alleged EPA	Act (EPA): EPA suits A underpayment. This I file suit may not be	means that backpay due for a	court within 2 ye	ars (3 years for willful violations) of the at occurred more than 2 years (3 years)
		On pehalf	of the Commission	6/25/18

Jamie R. Williamson,

District Director

cc:

Enclosures(s)

**QMES LLC Darline Pepler, HR Manager (For Respondent)** 122 Mill Rd , Suite A130 Phoenixville, PA 19460

Marc Weinberg (for Charging Party) SAFREN & WEINBERG 815 Greenwood Ave, Ste 22 Jenkintown, PA 19046

(Date Mailed)